UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTI	ON PENDING TRIAL			
	V. Federico Mendez-Castillo Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00160-	-GJQ			
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refor efendant be detained pending trial.	m Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I con-	clude that these facts require			
	Part I – Find	lings of Fact				
(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.					
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.					
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in:					
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.						
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo a minor victim					
	the possession or use of a firearm or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	§ 2250				
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed wh or local offense.	le the defendant was on release	pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defend	lant's release from prison for the			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presump person or the community. I further find that defendant					
	Alternative	Findings (A)				
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant h	as committed an offense				
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sec		.*			
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and		tion or combination of conditions			
/ (4)		Findings (B)				
(-)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear					
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	•	ne community.			
		e Reasons for Detention				
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to conte		_ ✓ clear and convincing			
	ndant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released and may bring the issue of his continuing detention to		rcumstances change.			

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 15, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	